

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/621,825	07/21/2000	Jung Tae Kang	06192.0146AA	4506
	7590 08/27/200 N KWOK CHEN & H	EXAMINER		
2033 GATEWAY PLACE			NGUYEN, JIMMY H	
SUITE 400 SAN JOSE, CA 95110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Augliostico No	A1:4/a\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Assistant Comments	09/621,825	KANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jimmy H. Nguyen	2629
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
• •	/ IS SET TO EVOIDE AMONTH.	(C) OD TUIDTY (20) DAVE
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	ıly 2007.	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,9,11-13 and 18-23</u> is/are pending	in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 1,5,9,11-13 and 18-23 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(() - ()
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∌d.
Attachment(s)	□	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔛 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is made in response to applicant's amendment filed on 07/20/2007. Claims 1, 5, 9, 11-13 and 18-23 are currently pending in the application. An action follows below:

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitation, "an information processing module disposed in a receiving space formed by the mold frame and the chassis" presently recited in lines 11-12 of claims 1 and 5 and lines 9-10 of claim 18, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

Application/Control Number: 09/621,825

Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1, 5, 9, 11-13 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claims 1, 5 and 18, these claims recite a limitation, "an information processing module mounted on (or attached to) a rear surface of the mold frame and disposed in a receiving space formed by the mold frame and the chassis becoming gradually thinner" in lines 11-13 of claims 1 and 5 and in lines 9-11 of claim 18. It is not clear what becomes gradually thinner, i.e., the chassis, the mold frame, both the chassis and the mold frame, or other becoming gradually thinner. Note that both the mold frame and the chassis becoming gradually thinner are also recited in lines 5-10 of claims 1 and 5. Accordingly, since it is not clear what becomes gradually thinner, it is considered that the invention is not clearly defined.

As to claims 9, 11-13 and 19-23, these claims are rejected for the same reason set forth in independent claims 1, 5 and 18 above.

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 2629

6. Claims 1, 5, 9, 11-13 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

As to claims 1, 5 and 18, the disclosure, when filed, does not fairly convey to one of ordinary skill in the art that applicants had in their possession the claimed limitation, "an information processing module disposed in a receiving space formed by the mold frame and the chassis" presently recited in lines 11-12 of claims 1 and 5 and lines 9-10 of claim 18. The original disclosure, specifically Fig. 9 (this figure shows the order from the top to the bottom corresponding to the order from the rear to the front of the display device), teaches the information processing unit (540) mounted to the rear of the mold frame (also see line 11 of claims 1 and 5 and line 9 of claim 18). Further, the original disclosure, specifically Fig. 2 (this figure shows the order from the top to the bottom corresponding to the order from the front to the rear of the display device), teaches the chassis (370) and the front surface of the mold frame (310) to form a receiving space in which the LCD module (350) and the backlight assembly (330) are disposed therein. In the other words, the original disclosure explicitly teaches, from the front to the rear of the display device according to the (second) embodiment corresponding to Figs. 7-11, the order of the chassis, the mold frame and the information processing module, i.e., the information processing module is **not** disposed in the claimed receiving space. Accordingly, the original disclosure does not contain the above underlined limitation, so as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the

Art Unit: 2629

application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Also see the corresponding drawing objection above.

As to claims 9, 11-13 and 19-23, these claims are rejected for the same reason set forth in independent claims 1, 5 and 18 above.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's argument, "the combination of Yun, Murai and Williamson does not teach an information processing module mounted on (or attached to) a rear surface of the mold frame and disposed in a receiving space formed by the mold frame and the chassis becoming gradually thinner", see page 6, last paragraph, of the amendment filed on 7/20/2007, has been fully considered and is persuasive in light of the amendment to independent claims 1, 5 and 18. However, upon further consideration, the new ground of rejection is made above.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/621,825

Art Unit: 2629

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7675. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached at 571-272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JHN

August 22, 2007

Jimmy H. Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Technology Division: 2629

Page 6